

transferred to the undersigned and would not wish to delay more. But, the Court believes, in the interest of efficiency, that it is more prudent to deny the motion for preliminary injunction and proceed to a full and expedited consideration of the merits on summary judgment. Whatever injury the preliminary injunction portends to avoid will not arise, if at all, before the end of May, 2015, when additional graduations will be conducted by the Greenville County School District. The Court, therefore, will commit to a resolution of this case in time for the defendants to accommodate any remedy afforded the plaintiffs. This abbreviated schedule, however, is no indication of the Court's actual view as to the outcome in this case. Moreover, the undersigned's adoption of the Report and Recommendation and denial of the motion for preliminary injunction does not foreclose a consideration of all issues in this case, including the effect of the defendant's mid-litigation adaptation to its policy concerning prayer at future graduations.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation is adopted to the extent consistent with this Order and incorporated herein by reference. The plaintiffs' motion for a Preliminary Injunction (ECF No. 5) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Bruce Howe Hendricks
United States District Judge

March 19, 2015
Greenville, South Carolina